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ABSTRACT

It is generally believed that the recession of 2007-2009 was not foreseen by business economists. Is this perceived view accurate? We explore this issue by examining business economists’ published statements about economic conditions. We compare these qualitative forecasts with the Beige Book. We conclude that both sets of data are similar and that business economists are responsive to information about the economy and adjust their predictions quickly.
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The Forecasting Performance of Business Economists 
During the Great Recession

I. Introduction

It is generally believed that the recession of 2007-2009 was not foreseen by most business economists. While Nouriel Roubini did forecast the recession in advance, he had been predicting such a decline for years and his timing was obviously not correct. Is the perceived view about the accuracy of other business forecasters accurate? We explore this issue by examining business economists’ published statements about economic conditions.

These published statements were usually of a qualitative rather than numerical nature and had to be converted into quantitative data, by a method described below, in order to be evaluated. The forecasts covering the period January 2007 through December 2008 were obtained from the Wall Street Journal Eastern Edition by searching the ProQuest ABI Inform Complete article database. This search uncovered articles ranging from opinion pieces regarding the world economy to summaries of the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) meetings. Only those articles with direct statements made by businesses or Wall Street Journal authors concerning the current or future state of the U.S. economy were included in our database. We excluded statements made by officials of the Federal Reserve System. Other U.S. government and international institution forecasts were included only if discussed by business or Wall Street Journal authors in the context of the business environment. Altogether we obtained 231 “forecasts.”

---

1 The search term “recession” was used for the year 2007 and the term “economic forecast” was used for both 2007 and 2008.
II. Evaluation Methodology

Because a large majority of the forecast statements in our sample were qualitative in nature, it was necessary to convert them into quantitative data in order to evaluate them. We used a method for scoring qualitative information employed by Goldfarb, Stekler, and David (2005) to evaluate qualitative forecasts from the Great Depression. This procedure converts qualitative statements into quantitative data.

The individual forecasts provided qualitative assessments about both the current state and the future direction of the economy. These two types of assessments were analyzed separately. We scored each statement using an optimism-pessimism scale that ranged from -1 to +1 with gradations or steps of ¼.

For example, +1 was assigned to any quotation indicating that the economy was doing very well and would expand strongly. Statements that the economy was already in a deep recession, or would be in a particularly bad recession, were given a score of -1. Any citation indicating the economy’s direction was unclear was given a 0. Table A1 presents the relationship between the scores and the paraphrased statements.

Separate scores were assigned to statements about the current situation and to projections about the future condition of the economy. We thus could separately evaluate the economists’ assessment of the current situation and their forecasts of the future on the aforementioned scale. Two time series of these scores were then constructed by averaging the scores of the individual forecasts made in each month- one time series for the statements about the present and another about the future.
Validation of the Scoring Procedure

Goldfarb et al. (2005) validated their methodology by comparing their scores for the forecasts made with the monthly analyses of economic conditions published in the 1929-1930 issues of the Federal Reserve Bulletin. Similarly, we verify the appropriateness of our scoring of the business economists’ qualitative statements by comparing our results with an analogous scoring of the statements in various issues of the Federal Reserve Beige Book. Compiled periodically by each of the twelve Federal Reserve Banks, the Beige Book is a qualitative analysis of economic conditions within each Bank’s region.2 Released two weeks before each FOMC meeting, the Beige Book contains information about the state of the US economy that the FOMC considers in setting monetary policy. The Beige Book is important in this decision context because it becomes available before certain official U.S. macroeconomic data are released.3 Although this information is anecdotal, previous research showed that the Beige Book generally provides valid information about the current direction of the U.S. economy. (See Fettig et al, 1999; Balke and Yucel, 2000; Ginther and Zavodny, 2001; Balke and Petersen, 2002; and Armesto et al., 2009).

A comparison of the Beige Book information with our scores poses several possible technical problems. The first involves timing. While our data constitute a time series of monthly observations, the Beige Book is not published monthly because the FOMC meets only eight times a year. Thus there are missing observations for some months.

---

2 The Beige Book has been published since 1970.
3 The real GDP estimates are not available until a month after the quarter ends; state employment statistics are only available with a month delay; and gross state product is not available until two years later. Balke and Yucel (2000), Balke and Petersen (2002), and Gintner and Zavodny (2001).
Second, economic conditions are described differently across the Federal Reserve districts. Some branches tend to be much more conservative in their descriptions while others use more extreme language to describe similar events. Thus, the scores assigned to each Bank’s statements may not be consistent. Finally, it has also been noted that some districts are better than others at accurately gauging the state of their regional economy: some seem to focus only on the city where the district bank is located. (Arnesto et al, 2009). Despite these potential discrepancies, most analyses that scored the Beige Book statements and compared them to actual macroeconomic data found this source generally tracked the U.S. economy well. Therefore, we can be relatively confident that by using the same scoring scale and adjusting for missing dates, the Beige Book should be an excellent benchmark for evaluating the business economists’ statements about the US economy.

The closing date for collecting data for the Beige Book was used to determine the date to which the average scores was attributed. For example, February 26, 2007 was the closing date for collecting data that were in the March 2007 report. Consequently, the date assigned for the information in the March Beige Book was February 2007.

In scoring the statements in every Beige Book, particular attention was paid to the primary sentence that described the state of each regional economy. The scorings were identical to those applied to the Wall Street Journal articles, but with particular attention paid to key words, such as “modest growth” and “sluggish growth”. The Beige Book score assigned to each month was the average of the scores obtained from the statements of 12 Regional Banks.

---

4 Each of the district Federal Reserve branches has their own methods for putting together their reports, but they generally comprise surveys that include, but are not limited to, local businesses, banks, and trade associations. Balke and Petersen (2002), Armesto et al. (2009).
Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation procedure compared the scores of the business statements with the Beige Book scores to determine whether they were consistent. A distinction was made between current and future period forecasts. All Wall Street Journal statements referring to the current situation were assumed to be assessments of the economic conditions prevailing in the previous month; all statements about the future were assumed to concern the very near term. For example, a statement made in April 2007 about current conditions would be assigned to March 2007. It was compared to the Beige Book score, which, presumably, measured the actual economic conditions that prevailed in that month.

It was assumed that a business forecast made in April 2007 referred to conditions expected in April, May, and June of 2007. Given this assumption, the Wall Street Journal forecast score for month t should be compared with the actual conditions that prevailed in those three months. Consequently, the scores assigned to forecasts made in month t were compared to the average of the Beige Book scores for months t, t+1, and t+2.\footnote{It is important to note that the Beige Book is never released in three consecutive months. Since this is the case, the scores were averaged for the months available over a three month period. For example, in early 2007 data was collected and released on February 26 and April 16, but not in March. Therefore the scores for these two dates were averaged and assigned to February 28 as an average three month score. March was given no score in this case.}

III. Results

Nowcasts: Assessment of Current Conditions

Table 1 presents the comparison of the Wall Street Journal current assessments with the Beige Book. On average throughout 2007 and 2008, the Wall Street Journal current assessments are more pessimistic than the comparable Beige Book assessments. Although the business scores were averaged for the months available over a three month period. For example, in early 2007 data was collected and released on February 26 and April 16, but not in March. Therefore the scores for these two dates were averaged and assigned to February 28 as an average three month score. March was given no score in this case.\footnote{To compare the Beige Book scores to the Wall Street Journal Scores, the Beige Book dates needed to be adjusted because the Wall Street Journal scores were all assigned to the last date of every month. The Beige Book scores were originally assigned to the date when their data collection ended, but the data collection end date is not consistent from survey to survey. If that date was on or before the 15th of a month that score was considered to apply to the last date of the previous month and if it was after the 15th, that score was assigned to the end of the same month.}
nowcasts of the economy are more pessimistic, both series have strong downward trends (see Figure 1) and the majority of scores for the Beige Book and the Wall Street Journal have the same sign. However, the business scores show more month-to-month volatility. Overall it appears that the business economists had a reasonable understanding of the actual conditions of the US economy as it evolved throughout 2007 and 2008.

This view is confirmed when movements in the business scores are compared with a coincident indicator of turning points in the US economy: Nonfarm payroll employment (PAYEMS). Figure 2 presents the movements of the business scores and the growth rates of the payroll data. Both series show similar trends, but there is more volatility in the Wall Street Journal assessments.

**Forecasts**

The Wall Street Journal forecasts have a very similar relationship to both the average Beige Book scores and to nonfarm payrolls as in the nowcasts. Using our scoring procedures, the forecasts of the business economists are more pessimistic than the Beige Book assessments of actual conditions that prevailed in the periods being forecast. (Table 2). The differences between the Wall Street Journal forecasts and the average Beige Book scores in Table 2 are similar to those in Table 1, although slightly larger and even more pessimistic. Throughout 2007, the Wall Street Journal data posted negative or near zero forecast scores while the average Beige Book score remained positive up until February 2008, the first report after December 2007 which the National Bureau of Economic Research has identified as the beginning of the 2008-09 recession. Again, both series exhibit strong negative trends as seen in Figure 3. The much higher degree of

---

7 The unscaled, continuously compounded annual rates of change for the series “All Employees: Total nonfarm (PAYEMS)” were used. Available at http://alfred.stlouisfed.org/series?seid=PAYEMS. The Wall Street Journal Nowcasts were compared to the unrevised growth rates first assigned to the months under study.

8 We only found three business nowcasts for May 2007 which may account for the differences between the business scores and both the Beige Book scores and the payroll movements.
volatility in the Wall Street Journal forecasts is also replicated in the PAYEMS comparison in Figure 4.⁹

There may be an explanation for some of this volatility in the business scores. For example, the July 2007 scores show a jump in optimism and interrupt a generally negative trend. The GDP data for the second quarter of 2007 had just been released and showed that U.S. GDP had increased by 4 percent, a very strong growth rate. The U.S. financial market was also relatively calm in the summer of 2007. Although some investment banks continued to have serious problems, these seemed to be manageable without government assistance.

There was also more optimism in the July 2008 business scores. At that point it appeared that the economic decline would be short-lived and that the Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac bailout would be all that was necessary to move the economy forward.¹⁰ Even though the job problem was recognized, federal stimulus money from the tax rebate was working through the economy and was expected to stabilize the situation. This was probably the reason that the Beige Book and the Wall Street Journal had such similar scores in July 2008, and why these scores were optimistic relative to PAYEMS.

Finally, the relative volatility and pessimism in the Wall Street Journal scores may also be due to the greater variety of people making statements, as well as variations in the number of statements collected per month from the Wall Street Journal articles. Statements were made by sources ranging from financial businesses, to professional forecasting firms to shipping companies. This variety of statements may also partially explain the greater degree of pessimism in the Wall Street Journal scores. Whereas the language in the various Beige Books was relatively conservative, the Wall Street Journal sources may have used more extreme words or

---

⁹ Forecasts were compared to the revised estimates for the continuously compounded annual growth rate of nonfarm payrolls (PAYEMS).
more exaggerated statements. In any event, it is possible to draw some conclusions from this analysis of the business economists’ statements.

IV Conclusions

In order to evaluate the predictive accuracy of the business economists’ qualitative statements it was necessary to convert them into quantitative scores. They were then compared with the scores that were assigned to the qualitative statements in the Beige Book, which served as the benchmark for this evaluation. Both sets of scores had similar time trends. Both the business nowcasts and the forecasts became more and more pessimistic as the financial crisis progressed in 2007 and 2008. Both tracked the scores of the Beige Book statements. Based on this evidence, we conclude that the nowcasts and forecasts captured the underlying trend of the economy during 2007-2008.

We now return to the question that was posed originally. While the economists understood the underlying trends, there is no evidence that they predicted the Great Recession in advance.\(^\text{11}\) While the economists’ scores became negative in mid-2007, a negative score does not necessarily indicate a prediction of a recession. The scores assigned to the economists’ statements are based on an optimism/ pessimism scale with a negative score measuring a pessimistic outlook and not a recessionary state. (Table A1). Based on the criteria in Table A1, a value exceeding -.50 would indicate a nowcast or forecast of a recession. This level was first exceeded in January 2008, only one month after the date identified by the National Bureau of Economic Research as the beginning of the Great Recession.

Thus, this sample of forecasts suggests that business economists are very responsive to the latest information about the state of the economy and adjust their predictions quickly. On the

\(^{11}\) A study of business economists’ quantitative forecasts from 2007 and early 2008 showed that they predicted that the economy would slow down but not decline. (Stekler and Talwar, 2011).
other hand, there is also evidence that the forecasts are more volatile than warranted because the economists sometimes react too quickly to information that reverses their previous views.
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**Table 1** Assessment of conditions: Scores of forecasters and Beige Book, December 2006-November 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Score of Representative Forecaster</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score of Beige Book</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The assessment in row 1 of conditions prevailing in a particular month is based on the individual's statement in the subsequent month (i.e. - a statement made in a May report about current conditions refers to the situation prevailing in April).
## Table 2 Evaluation of forecasts: Scores of Forecasters and Beige Book, January 2007-December 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score of Rep. Forecaster</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Score of Beige Book for t, t+1, t+2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jan-08</th>
<th>Feb-08</th>
<th>Mar-08</th>
<th>Apr-08</th>
<th>May-08</th>
<th>Jun-08</th>
<th>Jul-08</th>
<th>Aug-08</th>
<th>Sep-08</th>
<th>Oct-08</th>
<th>Nov-08</th>
<th>Dec-08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score of Rep. Forecaster</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Score of Beige Book for t, t+1, t+2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 Wall Street Journal nowcast scores compared with Beige Book nowcast scores, 2007 through 2008
Figure 2 Wall Street Journal nowcast scores compared with movements in the continuously compounded annual growth rate of U.S. payrolls, 2007 through 2008
Figure 3 Wall Street Journal forecast scores compared with average three month Beige Book scores, 2007 through 2008
Figure 4 Wall Street Journal forecast scores compared with movements in the continuously compounded annual growth rate of U.S. payrolls, 2007 through 2008
Appendix Table 1
Criteria for Scoring Qualitative Forecasts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Mindset</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Condition diagnosed or forecast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optimism</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The economy is strong or will expand very strongly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>The economy is growing normally or will definitely continue to grow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>The economy is growing at a &quot;modest&quot; pace or will do well barring unforeseen events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>There is some risk of a recession or downturn but less than 30%, or the economy will still grow but slower than usual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>It is unclear where the economy is or where it will go because the signs are mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pessimism</td>
<td>-1/4</td>
<td>The economy is visibly slowing, &quot;decelerating,&quot; or there is quite a bit of risk of a recession, &gt;30% but &lt;60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>The economy is &quot;sluggish&quot;, barely growing, or there is &gt;60% risk of recession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-3/4</td>
<td>The economy is declining, will contract, or there are mild recession conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Recession conditions are here or imminent and it is worse than any recession in recent history</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Words in quotation marks are recurring key words in the Federal Reserve Beige Book that were used for scoring.